

DOC22/1311221

Michael Cassel Planning Secretary Department of Planning and Environment Locked Bag 5022 Parramatta NSW 2124

Attn: Rob Sherry,

24 October 2022

Dear Mr Cassel

## Kingscliff High School (SSD 8744305): Submission of Independent Audit Report and response in accordance with Condition C39 and C40

I refer to SSD Application 8744305, Upgrades to Kingscliff High School, approved on 3 November 2021.

In accordance, with condition C39 of the Development Consent, the following document has been submitted to the Planning Secretary for information, and will be published on the project website within 60 days:

 Independent Audit No. 2 – Audit Report, Kingscliff High School – SSD 8744305, Steve Fermio, 21 October 2022, Version 1.0.

As per the requirements of condition C40 the Department must be issued with a response from the proponent to the Independent Audit Report, therefore the following attachments are provided for your information:

- Attachment A Response to Independent Audit non-compliances
- Attachment B Response to Independent Audit recommendations
- Attachment C Evidence of Actions

Yours Sincerely,

Martyn Charlett

Senior Project Director - Schools Infrastructure NSW

## Attachment A – Response to Independent Audit non-compliances

| Condition | Compliance Requirement   | Audit findings  | Audit Recommendations | Department of Education Actions                               | Evidence of Actions  |
|-----------|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| A34       | Within three months of: a) the submission of a compliance report under condition A37; b) the submission of an incident report under condition A30; c) the submission of an Independent Audit under condition C37 or C38; d) the approval of any modification of the conditions of this consent; or e) the issue of a direction of the Planning Secretary under condition A2 which requires a review, the strategies, plans and programs required under this consent must be reviewed, and the Planning Secretary and the Certifier must be notified in writing that a review is being carried out. | subsequently notified of the review. This can now be considered closed. | N/A - Closed          | Certifier was notified of review of plans post IA1 on 8/9/22. | Refer non-compliance<br>document submitted to DPE on<br>9.09.2022 (PA-16). |



## **Attachment B – Response to Independent Audit recommendations**

| Condition<br>ID | Compliance Requirement  | Audit findings   | Audit Recommendations   | Department of Education Actions  | Evidence of actions   |
|-----------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| C9              | The Applicant must carry out the construction of the development in accordance with the most recent version of the CEMP (including Sub-Plans).  | reviewed during IA2 were found to be generally being implemented.  | Recommend that the traffic controller at the entrance gate maintain a record to demonstrate compliance with CFF1.   | RCC have updated the front gate check sheet to include requirement to inspect earthmoving machinery prior to entering site and ensure it is clean of all soil and vegetable matter prior to entry.   | RCC – reference updated 23.4 Site Induction Checklist Delivery Drivers Action: closed   |
| C13             | The development must be constructed to achieve the construction noise management levels detailed in the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009) (ICNG). All feasible and reasonable noise mitigation measures must be implemented and any activities that could exceed the construction noise management levels must be identified and managed in accordance with the management and mitigation measures identified in the approved Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan. | Noise management levels (NMLs) in Table 5-1 of the CNVMP (December 2021) are not consistent with those in Table 3 of the ICNG, in particular, internal classroom noise levels (e.g. Kingscliff High School) are omitted from Table 5-1.  Noise monitoring undertaken over the period from 30/3/22 to 3/4/22 indicated that the NML (Table 5.1 of the CNVMP) for outdoor recreation areas | regard to: • including the relevant NMLs for internal classroom noise as per the ICNG • providing more detail of how compliance monitoring with the NMLs (including those applicable to the nearest sensitive residential receiver) will be undertaken (frequency, location etc) during construction • detailing the management response to the outcomes of any exceedances of NMLs | RCC provided Noise Monitoring Report for SSD CAPA Works – which the original report never captured the relocation of equipment.  RCC will undertake further baseline noise monitoring to the site prior to the grounds works commencing to Bld O CAPA building for a 1-mth period. This will provide confirmation of the noise levels and compliance with requirements.  Duration will initially be 1-month and then we will re-assess noise levels. | Refer ENV Vibration and Noise Monitoring Report 19-April-22  Refer quote and confirmation of noise monitoring to commence when structural works start at CAP (adjacent closest resident).  Action: open |
| N/A             | N/A   | Version control and document references, dates, titles, footers etc need to updated  | procedures at their next review following   | RCC are in the process of reviewing all Management Plans and will address this in the new update of each plan.   |   |