

New Primary School for Leppington (SSD 9476): Submission of Independent Audit Report and response in accordance with Condition C36 and C37

I refer to New Primary School for Leppington approved on the 11th September 2020.

In accordance, with condition C36 of the Development Consent, the following document has been submitted to the Planning Secretary for information:

SSD 9476 – East Leppington IEA Report Rev1

As per the requirements of condition C37 the Department must be issued with a response from the proponent to the Independent Audit Report, therefore the following attachments are provided for your information:

- Attachment A Response to Independent Audit non-compliances
- Attachment B Response to Independent Audit recommendations

Attachment A - Response to Independent Audit non-compliances

200	Consent heading	Compliance Requirement	Auditor's Comments / Observations	Compliance Status	SINSW Response and
					Actions
B11	Ecologically sustainable	Prior to the commencement of construction, unless otherwise	Evidence indicates that the Department approved	Non-compliant	Non-compliance noted.
	development	agreed by the Planning Secretary, the Applicant must	the extension of the ESD registration process to		On 22/12/20 SINSW
		(b) sociate that ESU is being achieved by either:	25/09/20 and that a subsequent request to		requested an extension
		(b) seeking approval from the Planning Secretary for an	turther extend the registration was not submitted		of this condition until
		alternative certification process.	until 20/10/20. The Department subsequently		1/2/21 for multiple
			extended the deadline for a second time to		projects. This approach
			20/11/20. The is no evidence to demonstrate that		was agreed with DPIE
			the ESD registration has occurred by that time.		Infrastructure
					Management Team.
B16	CTPMSP	Condition B16 requires that the Constriction Traffic and	The CTPMSP describes that construction traffic	Non-compliant	Non-compliance noted.
		Pedestrian Management Sub-Plan must include details of	volumes are yet to be determined. However, it is		CTPMSP was updated to
		estimated number and type of construction vehicle	expected that these volumes will not exceed to		reference truck
		movements including morning and afternoon peak and off-	the proposed operational volumes and would not		movements. Updated
		peak movements for each stage of construction	result in any adverse impact on the operational		CTPMSP to be submitted
			capacity of the surrounding road network.		to certifier and DPIE
					under condition A33 in
					February 2021.
					Non-compliance
					notification submitted
					to DPIE on 23/12/20.
B18	CWMSP	Condition B18 requires that the Construction Waste	Disposal / Transport Contractor are marked as	Non-compliant	Non-compliance noted.
		of each waste type generated during construction and the			reflect auditor's
		proposed reuse, recycling and disposal locations.			comments. Updated
					CWMSP to be submitted
					to certifier and DPIE
					under condition A33 in
					February 2021.
					Non-compliance
					notification submitted
					to DPIE on 23/12/20.
C21 &	Erosion & Sediment Control and	Condition C21 requires all erosion and sediment control	On the day of the site inspection the Project was	Non-compliant	Non-conformance
C23	Disposal of Seepage & Watter	measures be effectively implemented and maintained at or	pumping water from one part of the site to the		noted.
		above design capacity for the duration of the construction	designated sediment basin. It is understood the		Sediment fencing issues
		works.	water (once stored in the basin) would be either		were addressed and the
		Condition C23 requires adequate provision by made to collect	reused for dust suppression or discharged to the		water run off bypassing
		and discharge stormwater drainage during construction to the	environment (following testing, treatment and		the sediment basin was
		satisfaction of the Principal Certifier.	approval). However upon reaching the sediment		directed into the basin
			basin, the Auditor observed that the water was		whilst the audit was
			bypassing the basin, resulting in untested and		taking place by the
			Intreated construction water flowing through		Hansen Viincken site
			sediment fencing and off site to the Sydney Water		team photos of
			stormwater potwerk Blimping coased		rootification are
			immodiataly man becoming aware of the icon		iscluded is the Audit
			and handing appropriate a sheet and factors and control issue		ill cluded ill tile Addit
			and building was established so that any luture		determined while on
			pumping on site was directed to the basin. Refer		determined while on

CoC	Consent heading	Compliance Requirement	Auditor's Comments / Observations	Compliance Status	SINSW Response and
					Actions
			to the photos for detail. The Auditor was not in a		site the run-off was as a
			position to determine whether this constituted an		result of the major rain
			incident as defined by the consent.		event and occurred due
					to the dam overflowing.
					All bleeding outside of
					catchment was diverted
					through the vegetation
					and swale and was
					deemed to prevent any
					sediment laden
					discharge out of site.
					Refer second set of
					Auditor photos
					contained within the
					Auditor's report for
					evidence.
C25	Unexpected Finds Protocol -	Condition C25 requires construction to be carried out in	Whilst no timing is specified in recommendation 1	Non-compliant	SINSW demonstrated
	Aboriginal Heritage	accordance with the recommendations of the East	of the Archaeological Survey Report, it is		that this condition was
		Leppington Public School: Archaeological Survey Repor	reasonable to assume that this is required prior to		compliant as the
			activities with potential to disturb the heritage		required works noted by
			sites. The AHIP holder was not contacted until		the Auditor was not
			after the site inspection component of the audit.		relevant to the project
			The AHIP holder confirmed that the sites had		and had been addressed
			been collected prior. The other applicable		by the original AHIP
			recommendations from the Report have been		Holder, Stocklands.
			complied with.		Consequently, the
					Auditor has accepted
					this as closed.



Attachment B - Response to Independent Audit Observations

Consent condition #	Consent condition # Audit Improvement Recommendations	Department of Education Actions	Evidence of actions
B15	Condition B15 requires that the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) includes a groundwater management plan, including measures to prevent groundwater contamination	n/a	n/a
	No stand-alone groundwater management plan is provided however measures to prevent groundwater contamination are provided in Sections 4.11. The Stage 2 site investigation		
	carried out as part of the EIS found the groundwater standing water level at off-site		
	registered bores to be 1.5m to 70mbgl. Standing water from wells on site was 3.05 – 5.7mbgl.		
	The Project confirms that the design does not require interaction with groundwater. It is the		
	Auditors opinion that the current details for groundwater protection are adequate		
	for the works being undertaken.		
C14 and CNVMSP	Condition C14 requires that the 'quackers' be used where practicable and without	Consider implementing a process to:	Contractor has been requested to investigate the
Section 7.2	compromising the safety of construction staff or members of the public. Section 7.2 of	- recommend subcontractors provide plant with	possibility of implementing non-tonal alarms where
	the CNVMSP reflects this requirement.	non-tonal reversing alarms,	safety will not be compromised.
	It was observed on site that there were multiple pieces of mobile plant in operation. One was	or	Discussions regarding actions occurred 28/09/20
	observed to have tonal beepers (not quackers) fitted. That being said, there have been no	- verify that non-tonal reversing alarms compromises	
	complaints received (to ob/10/20) and, therefore, noise impacts on the surrounding receivers	salely on sile.	
C42	Condition C42 requires the Project to demonstrate compliance with Guidelines for	Update the CEMP to include improved sediment and	As per consent condition A33, the CEMP is currently
	Development Adjacent to the Upper Canal and Warragamba Pipelines (WaterNSW	erosion control measures to account for major rain	undertaking a review and the updated section is now
	2018).	events.	captured in the forthcoming CEMP update that is to
	Section 2.5 and 2.6 of the Guideline requires the management of water quality and erosion		be issued to DPIE upon completion (ETA February
	and sediment control. Refer to the non-compliance against CoC C21.		2021).
	Whilst this did not relate to discharges to the Water NSW corridor, the non-compliance did		
	represent a deviation from sound water management.		
	The Guideline includes stringent requirements around incident notification (more stringent		
	than what is required under this consent) that are not included in the CEMP.		
CTPMP - Section 4.2	CTPMP - Section 4.2 Section 4.2 of the CTPMSP states that an authorised Traffic Controller is to be present on-site Update CTPMSP to include TCPs.	Update CTPMSP to include TCPs.	As per consent condition A33, the CEMP is currently
	throughout the construction stage of the project. Responsibilities include the supervision of all		undertaking a review and the updated section is now
	construction vehicle movements into and out of site at all times.		captured in the forthcoming CEMP update that is to
	There are two TCPs in force (as attached to the CTPMSP). One where movements require a		
	traffic controller and one where a Traffic Controller is not required. HY confirm this need the		
	day before through the pre-start. The TCP that permits vehicle movements without a traffic		
	controller is contrary to Section 4.2 of the approved CTPMSP.		
	The Traffic Controllers work for the civil contractor on site and, therefore will not be on site		
	once that subcontractor completes the work. Alternative arrangements will need to be made.		